

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/691,520	10/18/2000	David D. McAfee	06011/36294A	4854
4743 75	590 02/22/2002			
MARSHALL, O'TOOLE, GERSTEIN, MURRAY & BORUN			EXAMINER	
6300 SEARS TOWER 233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE		WAKS, JOSEPH		
CHICAGO, IL	60606-6402		ART UNIT PAPER NUMB	
			2834	

DATE MAILED: 02/22/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$-\rho$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/691,520	MCAFEE, DAVID	D.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph Waks	2834	1-1			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, within the statutory minimur vill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	, may a reply be timely filed  m of thirty (30) days will be considered timel (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 (</u>	October 2000					
·—	is action is non-final	1				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 October 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) 🔲 No	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No otice of Informal Patent Application (P <sup>*</sup> ther:				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/691,520

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Information Disclosure Statement

- 1. The information disclosure statement filed on March 5, 2001 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.
- 2. The information disclosure statement filed March 5, 2001 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

# Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

In line 1, "is disclosed" is a phrase that can be implied.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 09/691,520 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 10, "air" should be –an air--, lines 14 and 19, "the case exterior surface" lack antecedent basis.

In claim 6, "additional air" should be -an additional air--.

In claim 12, lines 2 and 3, "air" should be -the air--.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-5, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Onjanow (US 3,610,975).

Onjanow discloses in Figure 1 invention as claimed: a case 22 having an exterior surface, a drive end, an opposite end, an interior working chamber with a machine component 14 supported by the shaft 16, an air inlet and an air outlet formed in the case, a first fan 21 supported by the shaft within the working chamber and moving the air over the machine component, a cowl 18 received over the opposite end defining a plenum and an annular exhaust opening 23 in form of housing extension having an inlet and an outlet port and facing the case exterior surface, a second fan 20 positioned within the plenum on a portion of the shaft extending from the case, a

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/691,520

Art Unit: 2834

gap between an inner surface of the casing and the machine component, longitudinal openings 26 in the machine component.

8. Claims 1-3, 5-10, and 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baumann et al. (US 3,749,953).

Baumann et al. disclose in Figure 1 invention as claimed: a case 20 having an exterior surface, a drive end, an opposite end, an interior working chamber with a machine component 13 supported by the shaft 16, air inlets 27 and an air outlet formed in the case, a first fan 22a supported by the shaft within the working chamber and moving the air over the machine component, a cowl 18, 44 received over the opposite end defining a plenum 41 and an annular exhaust opening 28 facing the case exterior surface, a second fan 48 positioned within the plenum 41 on a portion of the shaft extending from the case, and a gap between an inner surface of the casing and the machine component, openings 42 for an additional air admitted to the plenum, an inlet air 27 at the drive end of the case, an air outlet 28 through or near the opposite air of the case, and a baffle 23.

Re claim 20, **Baumann et al.** disclose the machine as claimed. Claim 20 that merely recites using the disclosed features together is inherent to the disclosed structure.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/691,520

Art Unit: 2834

10. Claim 4 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baumann et al. (US 3,749,953) in view of Wiard (US 1,267,828).

Baumann et al. disclose the machine essentially as claimed. However, Baumann et al. fail to disclose the plurality of longitudinal openings in the machine component to permit the airflow during machine operation.

Wiard discloses a rotary machine having a portion 9 disposed over a shaft 15 and the plurality of longitudinal openings 11 in the machine component to permit the airflow during machine operation for the purpose of dissipating the heat generated by the armature winding 10.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the machine as taught by **Baumann et al.** and to provide the plurality of longitudinal openings in the machine component to permit the airflow during machine operation as taught by **Wiard** for the purpose of dissipating heat generated by a rotor with an armature winding.

#### **Prior** Art

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

#### Communication

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Waks whose telephone number is (703) 308-1676. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 8 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor R Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 09/691,520

Art Unit: 2834

Page 6

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-1341 for regular communications and (703) 305-1341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

JOSEPH WAKS
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER
TC-2800

JW February 15, 2002